WEEKLY COAL COMBUSTION RESIDUAL (CCR) INSPECTION REPORT
SKB BANSING LANDERLI
A. A.

Date:	1-25-24 Inspector ( )	South	WAY	٩,		
- Time:	: 10:30 Weather Conditions: - 7	200	CK89	.34	<i>^</i>	
,———	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Ye	5 No		Notes	
CCR	Landfill Integrity Inspection (per 40 CFR 525	T_84)				
]_  -	Was bulging, sliding, rotational movement of localized settlement observed on the sideslopes or upper deck of cells containing CCR?	ori		- 1.		
- 2	Were conditions observed within the cells containing CCR or within the general landful operations that represent a potential disruption to ongoing CCR management operations?	oni				<del></del>
3.	Were conditions observed within the cells or within the general landfill operations that represent a potential disruption of the safety of the CCR management operations.		V			1
CCRF	ngitive Dust Inspection (per 40 CFR §257-80(f	)( <u>4</u> )		<del></del>		
4_	Was CCR received during the reporting period? If answer is no, no additional information required.			-		
5_	Was all CCR conditioned (by wetting or dust suppresents) prior to delivery to landfill?	li	-			
6_	If response to question 5 is no, was CCR conditioned (wetted) prior to transport to landfill working face, or was the CCR not susceptable to fugitive dust generation?					
7.	Was CCR spillage observed at the scale or on landfill access roads?				•	-
8_	Was CCR fugitive dust observed at the landfill? If the answer is yes, describe corrective action measures below.			-	-	
9_	Are current CCR fugitive dust control measures effective? If the answer is no, describe recommended changes below.					-
10_	Were CCR fagitive dust-related citizen complaints received during the reporting period? If the answer is yes, answer question		i			_
II_	Were the citizen complaints logged?		<del></del>	NIA	-	-
dditional :	Notes: 2 lond	town	A	1./1/		_ 
			-			

Q:\Waste Connections\Lansing\CCR Plan Final\Weekly Income Facility

WEEKLY COAL COMBUSTION RESIDUAL (CCR) INSPECTION REPORT

Date	Inspector C	mad	MAN		
Tīme	- 9:15 Weather Conditions:	.5	V		
	9			-	
,		Yes	No	_	Notes
CCR	Landfill Integrity Inspection (per 40 CFR 5257	84)			
1.		ri l		<del></del>	
	localized settlement observed on the	-	1		
-	sideslopes or upper deck of cells containing CCR?	-	1	1.	
- 2	The second of the second secon				
	containing CCR or within the general landfill		1		
1	operations that represent a potential disruption	i		1	
	to ongoing CCR management operations?			1	
3_	Were conditions observed within the cells or				
1	within the general landfill operations that				
	represent a potential disruption of the safety of	f			
	the CCR management operations.				
CCRF	ugitive Dust Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.80(b)	( <u>4</u> ))		<del></del>	
4_	Was CCR received during the reporting	T -			
1	period? If answer is no, no additional			-	
	information required.				
5-	Was all CCR conditioned (by wetting or dust		<del> </del>		<del></del>
	suppresants) prior to delivery to landfill?	1	-		
6_	If response to question 5 is no, was CCR	· · · · ·			
	conditioned (wetted) prior to transport to		1 1		·
	landfill working face, or was the CCR not				
	susceptable to fugitive dust generation?				
7_	Was CCR spillage observed at the scale or on				
	landfill access roads?				-
8_	Was CCR fugitive dust observed at the		<del>-</del>	<del></del>	
	landfill? If the answer is yes, describe		1	-	
	corrective action measures below.	1	1/		-
9_	Are current CCR fugitive dust control				
	measures effective? If the answer is no,	1			
	describe recommended changes below.	V	1		,
10.	Were CCR fugitive dust-related citizen	-			
	complaints received during the reporting	1			
	period? If the answer is yes, answer question				
11_	Were the citizen complaints logged?			NA	
	•		<del></del>		
ditional	Notes:				
<del></del>					

Q:\Waste Connections\Lensing\CCR Plan Final\Weekly Inspection Form 10\_2015.zlsz

WEEKLY COAL COMBUSTION RESIDUAL (CCR) INSPECTION REPORT

Date:	I - II - ZY Inspector	md D	Sty		-
Time	2:15	old	03/		
		Yes	No	1.	Notes
CCR.	Landfill Integrity Inspection (per 40 CFR 5257	84)			
1_					
	localized settlement observed on the				
	sideslopes or upper deck of cells containing	`	1 2	<b>1</b> ,	
_	CCR7	-		\\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	
- 2	Were conditions observed within the cells.				
	containing CCR or within the general landfill	·	- 1		
1	operations that represent a potential disruption	i			
	to ongoing CCR management operations?				
3.	Were conditions observed within the cells or				
	within the general landfill operations that	[-	1	1	
1	represent a potential disruption of the safety of	F		-	
	the CCR management operations.				
		Ш			·
CCRF	ugitive Dust Inspection (per 40 CFR §257-80(b)	(4)			
4_	Was CCR received during the reporting				
	period? If answer is no, no additional	. /			
	information required				
5-	Was all CCR conditioned (by wetting or dust	<del>                                       </del>			
	suppresents) prior to delivery to landfill?	1	7 -		
6_	If response to question 5 is no, was CCR		+	+	
	conditioned (wetted) prior to transport to				•
	landfill working face, or was the CCR not			1	
	susceptable to fugitive dust generation?	,			
7_			ļ		
7-	Was CCR spillage observed at the scale or on			1	-
8_	landfill access roads?	,			
٥_	Was CCR fugitive dust observed at the			-	,
	landfill? If the answer is yes, describe corrective action measures below.	٠	1		
9_					
9_	Are current CCR fugitive dust control	_			
	measures effective? If the answer is no,				
7.0	describe recommended changes below.			z	
10_	Were CCR fugitive dust-related citizen	-			
	complaints received during the reporting		1/		Ì
	period? If the answer is yes, answer question		_		_
II_	Were the citizen complaints logged?			h//1/	
ditional :	Notes:				
	· · ·			<del></del>	
<del>~- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·</del>				-	

Q:\Waste Connections\Lansing\CCR Plan Final\Weekly Inspection Form 10 2015 = 1

WEEKLY COAL COMBUSTION RESIDUAL (CCR) INSPECTION REPORT

		Yes	No	<del></del>	Notes
	Landfill Integrity Inspection (per 40 CFR 5257.8		110		LYOIES
I.		<del>写</del>	<del></del>		
ــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ	localized settlement observed on the	<u> </u>		1	-
	sideslopes or upper deck of cells containing				
-	CCR7			1.	
- 2	Were conditions observed within the cells		<del> </del>		
	containing CCR or within the general landfill				
	operations that represent a potential disruption			1	
	to ongoing CCR management operations?				
3.	Were conditions observed within the cells or				-
	within the general landfill operations that				
	represent a potential disruption of the safety of		L L	1	
	the CCR management operations.				
CRE	ugitive Dust Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.80(b)(4	ור			
4	Was CCR received during the reporting			Γ	
	period? If answer is no, no additional			· .	
	information required.				
5.	Was all CCR conditioned (by wetting or dust				
_	suppresents) prior to delivery to landfill?		-		
6_	If response to question 5 is no, was CCR				
	conditioned (wetted) prior to transport to	ĺ			
	landfill working face, or was the CCR not				
	susceptable to fugitive dust generation?	1			
7_	Was CCR spillage observed at the scale or on				
	Iandfill access roads?				-
8_	Was CCR fugitive dust observed at the	<del></del>		······	1
	landfill? If the answer is yes, describe	.		- ·	
	corrective action measures below.				-
9_	Are current CCR fugitive dust control			·····	
	measures effective? If the answer is no,				
	describe recommended changes below.	1			
10_	Were CCR fugitive dust-related citizen	-		<del></del>	
	complaints received during the reporting	1			
	period? If the answer is yes, answer question				_
LI_	Were the citizen complaints logged?				

Q:\Waste Connections\Lensing\CCR Flan Final\Weekly Inspection Form 10\_2015